

CODRUL COSMINULUI

Paper's title:

Criterion	Yes/No	Comments
<i>First impression</i> after the throughout lecture		
The <i>main argument</i> (subject, objectives) is clear?		
The <i>subject</i> is persuasively presented?		
The <i>main framework</i> of topic (social/cultural/historical/political/ a particularly science) is clear?		
What is the <i>strongest point</i> of the article?		
What is the <i>weakest point</i> of the article?		
CRITERIA		
Academic Quality		
<i>Originality</i> of this paper: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • is highly original • is original in parts • is not original 		
<i>Theme analysis</i> is relevant to scientific knowledge? <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • is a very important contribution • is of significant interest • is of only marginal interest 		
<i>The bibliography</i> is representative and updated?		
<i>The argumentation</i> is adequate and rigorous enough?		
<i>The interpretations</i> are adequate, is based on facts?		
<i>The arguments</i> support the conclusions?		
Presentation		
<i>Writing</i> is clear, concise, and grammatically correct?		
<i>Title</i> it specific and does it reflect the content of the article?		
<i>Abstract</i> is brief and synthesizes the content of the article?		
<i>Figures, tables, abbreviations, and symbols</i> are justified; should any be omitted be simplified or condensed		
Research Ethics		
<i>Language</i> is appropriate?		
<i>Other situations</i> which break the academic norms		

CODRUL COSMINULUI

Evaluation		
<i>Comments on article's novelty, originality or significance</i>		
Reviewer proposal		
<i>Unconditionally accept the article</i>		
<i>Accept it in the event that its authors improve it in the following minor ways</i>		
<i>Accept it the event that its authors improve it in the following aspects, with a new evaluation</i>	No	
<i>Reject it outright.</i>	No	